

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNCT United States Patent and Trademark Office

CPLICATION NO	FII (NG ĐATE	ERSENAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONTRMATION NO	
(9) 779,334	02 08 2001	Carsten Sjocholm	6092.200.US	90.4†	
25 sin 8	Syn (14.14.2003)				
NOVOZYMI	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. 500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
500 FIFTH AV SUITE 1600				WEBER, JON P	
NEW YORK,	NY 10110			1	
			ARITNI	PAPER NUMBER	
			(68)	/ 1/	
			DATI MAH I D. 04 14 2003		

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/779,334 SJOEHOLM ET A		AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	1651	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	,
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	136(a) In no event, however, may a reply boly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS file, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this communicat DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	tion
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	February 2003 .		
2a) <u>⊡</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	•	• •	s is
·	Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on		
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOIT CONSIDERATION.		
	Claim(s) 14-16 is/are rejected.			
,	Claim(s) 14-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
, —	on Papers	or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
<u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		xaminer.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	<u>_</u> '		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2 Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	cation No.	
٠	3 Copies of the certified copies of the prid application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage	
		tio priority under 35115 ( R 11		ation)
	en de la companya de			
Attachmen	t(s)			
21 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<del>_</del>	nary (PTO-413) Paper Nots) naf Patent Application (PTO-152)	

· 10 25 (Rev. 14-5)

Application/Control Number: 09/779,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

Status of the Claims

The response with amendments filed 06 February 2003 has been received and entered.

Claims 14-22 have been presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 17-22 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct

from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

It is argued that the restriction is improper because the burden under

combination/subcombination has not been met and the claims are linking.

The Office action of 06 August 2002 clearly spelled out why the restriction was proper:

The restriction was not a combination/subcombination as argued. The feed by virtue of being

acted upon by the protease has been modified. The feed is then different both from its starting

materials and the protease used to treat it. The restriction was made FINAL in the previous

Office action.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented

invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution

on the merits. Accordingly, claims 17-22 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to

a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

Application/Control Number: 09/779,334

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 14-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Outtrup et al. (US 5,597,720).

Claims 14-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aunstrup et al. (US 3,674,643).

Claims 14-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Ichishima et al. (US 4,480,037).

Claims 14-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Horikoshi et al. (US 4,052,262).

It is argued that these references simply disclose various proteases and do not disclose a feed additive or a composition comprising an acid stable protease and at least one of fat soluble vitamins, water soluble vitamins and trace minerals.

As pointed out in the Office action of 06 August 2002, these references do disclose compositions comprising acid stable protease and trace and macro minerals. The proteases are the same as those specifically listed in the instant disclosure and the minerals are the same as those specifically listed in the instant disclosure. The basis for asserting that these are not disclosed in the references is not understood. Outtrup et al. (US 5,597,720) and Ichishima et al. (US 4,480,037) describe the specific organism set forth in claim 16. The animal feed additive does not distinguish from a simple composition combining the ingredients.

Applicant's arguments filed 06 February 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 are adhered to for the reasons of record and the

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon P Weber, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-4015. The examiner can normally be reached on daily, off 1st Fri, 9/5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jon P Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

JPW April 7, 2003